UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,329	11/09/2001	Michael Baudino	11738.00050	4048
27581 MEDTRONIC	7590 03/14/2007 , INC.	,	EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRO	NIC PARK		WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$\Diamond$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/053,329	BAUDINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Catherine N. Witczak	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  sply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 <u>December 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ <sup>-</sup>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-80,82-86,89-107 and 110-141</u> is	s/are pending in the applicatior	1.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-79 and 110-14	<u>1</u> is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80, 82-86, and 89-10</u> is/are rejec	oted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan-	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a):			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attach mant(s)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	· —	)/Mail Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) 🔲 Other: \_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/12/2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 80, 82, 83, 85, 86, 89, 103, 106 and 107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hofling (US 5,354,279). Hofling discloses in Figures 8 and 9 a delivery system comprising a cannula (212) having a distal end with a plurality of openings (28) having a curved passageway capable of directing a catheter (26) outwardly along a distinct trajectory; and a replenishment port (246).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 10/053,329 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

settings to be established.

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 84, 90, 91, 92, 93, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, and 105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofling in view of Cosgrove, Jr. et al. (US 4,533,346). Hofling discloses the claimed invention except for reading a parameter and level of signal to control liquid infusion rate, adjusting rate, and exceeding a maximum setting value results in indicative output. Cosgrove discloses a interfaced control scheme used in the prior art that adjusts a setting of infusion rates based on, multiple analog signal levels based on the drug or physiological parameters (col. 3, line 55 col. 5, line 62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Cosgrove in the system of Hofling in order to achieve precise control of drug delivery to a patient. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use alarms and telemetry in the process control scheme in order to alert the user when maximum or dangerous levels are exceeded to provide a better level of control and to use telemetry to enable remote control
- Claim 94 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofling as modified by Cosgrove, Jr. et al in further view of Abbott et al (US Re. 36386). Hofling as modified by Cosgrove disclose the claimed invention except for resetting a timer when a parameter is changed. Abbott teaches resetting a timer when a parameter associated the flowrate is changed. (see para. (d) of claim 31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Abbott in the system of Hofling in view of Cosgrove in order to obtain additional flow information concerning drug delivery parameters to more precisely control the drug delivery profile to the patient.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,329 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 80, 82-86, and 89-107 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mmm 3/7/07

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Kevin C. Sermons